

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

MAR 09 2009

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JORGE SANCHEZ-REYES; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 08-73882

Agency Nos. A075-767-068 A071-913-511

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 23, 2009 **

Before: KOZINSKI, Chief Judge, HAWKINS and GOULD, Circuit Judges.

This is a petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying petitioners' motion to reconsider its prior decision.

The motion to proceed in forma pauperis is granted. The Clerk shall amend the docket to reflect this status.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We review the BIA's ruling on a motion to reconsider for abuse of discretion. *See Lara-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 383 F.3d 968, 972 (9th Cir. 2008).

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying petitioner's motion to reconsider because the motion failed to identify any errors of fact or law in the BIA's May 14, 2008 order. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(b)(1) (motion to reconsider "shall state the reasons for the motion by specifying the errors of fact or law in the prior Board decision.").

Accordingly, respondent's unopposed motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard).

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal and voluntary departure confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) and *Desta v. Ashcroft*, 365 F.3d 741 (9th Cir. 2004), shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.